



Town of Ashland

M A S S A C H U S E T T S

MEETING MINUTES ASHLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

August 14, 2023

1
2 Present: Catherine Van Lancker (Chair)
3 Greg Wands (Vice Chair)
4 Owen Ackerman
5 Preston Crow
6 Gene Crouch
7 Carl Hakansson
8 William Moulton
9

10
11
12 Agent: Becca Solomon
13

14 Meeting held by Zoom
15 Call to order: 7:00 P.M.
16

17 **Chair Catherine Van Lancker read the Ashland Conservation Commission virtual meeting protocols, and**
18 **meeting recording announcement**
19

20 **Mr. Crouch and Mr. Moulton joined the meeting at this time.**
21

22 **Request for Determination of Applicability, Sudbury Valley Trustees, Cowassock Woods, Bench**
23 **Installation**

24 Mr. St Germain summarized that there is an existing bridge in Cowassock Woods on the Ashland side, and
25 the intent is to install a bench being donated. Mr. St Germain noted that under 310 CMR 10.53 (j), the
26 construction and maintenance of catwalks and footbridges are allowed so long as they are constructed on
27 piling or posts. The proposal is to extend the existing bridge out onto two posts enough to make room for
28 the bench, which would be installed on top of the extension. The extension would have the added benefit of
29 protecting exposed tree roots underneath it. Ms. Solomon shared photos of the site on the screen.
30

31 Mr. Crouch asked when the photos were taken. Mr. St Germain stated they were taken around the end of
32 May. Mr. Crouch asked how far off the ground the extension would be. Mr. St Germain responded that it
33 would be the same height as the current bridge, which varies, but is about 5-8 inches in the proposed work
34 area.
35

36 Mr. Wands asked if the posts would be sledgehammered in and cut to size without excavation. Mr. St
37 Germain confirmed. Mr. Crow asked if this was in the wetland resource area itself. Ms. Solomon stated that
38 it was at the edge of the wetland and located in the No Disturb Zone.
39

40 **Motion:** Mr. Wands moved to issue a Determination of Applicability with a Negative 3 determination. The
41 motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

42 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)
43

44 **Request for Determination of Applicability, 26 Strobus Lane, Dan McIntyre, Septic System Replacement**

45 Mr. McIntyre shared plans on the screen and summarized that he had previously been before the
46 Commission for soil test pits at the same address in order to determine a location for a replacement septic
47 system on the property. The current filing is for the work to do the actual replacement. The existing system
48 and leach field are in the rear of the property and are too close to the wetland. The proposed replacement
49 septic field would be largely outside the 100-foot buffer zone in the front of the lot, with a connection to the
50 tank in the rear of the yard within existing lawn area. No tree removal would be needed. A boulder wall
51 would be constructed adjacent to the leach field to better control the grade around it, using boulders found
52 during excavation. Straw wattles are proposed as erosion control around the entire work area. There is a
53 dewatering area proposed and shown on the plans. No work is in the 25-foot No Disturb Zone. One
54 protected resource area marker is proposed at the edge of lawn to notify future owners of the wetlands on
55 the property.

56
57 Mr. Crow asked how long the project would take to complete. Mr. McIntyre stated that the work would take
58 approximately 2 weeks after starting, with work scheduled for late September to early October. Mr.
59 Moulton asked if straw wattles would be sufficient with the grades shown. Mr. McIntyre stated that he felt
60 they would be as the majority of the work is in a relatively flat area. Mr. Moulton asked what size the
61 wattles would be. Mr. McIntyre responded that they would be 9-inch wattle, and showed photos of the
62 area.

63
64 Mr. Crouch asked if the plans match the ones that Ms. Solomon had received. Ms. Solomon stated that she
65 had receive a set without the dewatering area shown and had asked for it to be added, but did not recall
66 receiving the amended plans. Mr. McIntyre stated that a .pdf copy was forwarded. Ms. Solomon was able to
67 locate an email with the amended plans, and that the old one was accidentally forwarded to the
68 Commissioners twice.

69
70 Mr. Hakansson asked Mr. Moulton to explain why he had questioned the straw wattles as he had similar
71 concerns. Mr. Moulton responded that he had concerns about the area by the leach field that had a steeper
72 grade, and was concerned with the intensity of the rainfall that had been occurring. Mr. Moulton asked if
73 mulch sock would be more appropriate for the area with steeper grade. Mr. Hakansson agreed with Mr.
74 Moulton's concerns and noted that the change in weather causing more intense storms should be
75 considered when reviewing erosion controls. Mr. McIntyre stated that they could use compost tubes in the
76 area of concern and straw wattle for the rest, but noted that he had concerns that the sediment would go
77 under the tubes as it was difficult to get embedment with them. Mr. Hakansson asked if there was wetland
78 on the west side of the leach field. Mr. McIntyre stated that there was a driveway on that side for the
79 abutting property. Ms. Solomon clarified that there was a vegetated low point between the slope and the
80 abutting driveway. Ms. Solomon added the area was checked during the initial RDA to determine if it may
81 have been wetland as well, and was found to not have hydric soils or wetlands vegetation. The area does
82 slope back to the wetland.

83
84 Mr. Crow expressed concern that the straw wattle and compost sock would not be sufficient for the
85 stockpiles if a larger storm occurs during work. Mr. Crow suggested silt fence be included. Mr. Crouch stated
86 that the intensity of rain cannot be predicted. Mr. McIntyre stated that the biggest concern would likely be
87 when it is loam and seeded, prior to the seed stabilizing, and recommended straw erosion control blanket
88 be laid down while the seed is starting. Mr. Crouch asked what the soil type was. Mr. McIntyre stated is was
89 a sandy loam, but there is significant fill already that prevented a percolation test due to the depth to
90 natural soil. The Commission discussed and requested that compost or mulch sock be used in the steeper
91 area from the road back to a pre-existing retaining wall, in addition to the straw erosion control blanket.
92

93 Mr. Dassoni, 49 Hawthorne Road, asked what the depth of the septic tank itself would be. Mr. McIntyre
94 stated it would be around 8 feet. Mr. Dassoni asked if the tank would be affected by flooding. Mr. McIntyre
95 states that the tank is a concrete tank that would prevent water from entering.
96

97 **Motion:** Mr. Crouch moved to issue a Determination of Applicability with a Negative 3 determination and
98 conditions as discussed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

99 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

100

101 **Request for Determination of Applicability, 46 Pennock Road, Ross Andro Tan, Tree Removal, Shed and**
102 **Patio**

103 Ms. Solomon showed a plan on the screen and summarized the wetlands resource areas and proposed
104 work. The work is to remove hazardous trees from the edge of the buffer zone and shed. A patio is also
105 approved but is outside the 100-foot buffer zone. Mr. Moulton asked how many trees were planned for
106 removal. Mr. Tan responded that seven trees are proposed, but two have already fallen. Ms. Solomon
107 summarized that the trees do not have any new growth and are at the edge of the lawn.
108

109 Ms. Van Lancker asked if bounds were necessary. Mr. Crouch asked if there is a statement on the deed. Ms.
110 Solomon stated that the 25-foot No Disturb Zone is deep in the woods, and would require more vegetation
111 clearing to install. Ms. Solomon added that the statement is typically required by the Commission on Order
112 of Conditions. Mr. Crow noted that the Commission has not typically required bounds or deed recordings
113 from RDA applications in the past.

114
115 **Motion:** Mr. Moulton moved to issue a Determination of Applicability with a Negative 3 determination. The
116 motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

117 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

118

119

120 **Request to Lift Enforcement Order, Queen Isabella Way, Ashland Chestnut Realty LLC, Dep File No. 95-684**

121 Ms. Solomon stated the original Enforcement Order was issued to require the stormwater basin off Queen
122 Isabella Way to be brought into compliance with an expired Order of Conditions for the Village of Americas
123 project. Since then the basin was grubbed out, regraded, and stabilized with vegetation. A request to lift the
124 Enforcement Order was submitted jointly with the Certificate of Compliance for the Village of Americas
125 project, and should be lifted before a Certificate of Compliance can be issued.
126

127 **Motion:** Mr. Hakansson moved to lift the Enforcement Order. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

128 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

129

130

131 **Certificate of Compliance, Village of Americas, Commonwealth Design LLC, DEP File No. 95-684**

132 Ms. Solomon stated that Certificate of Compliance (COC) Request was for the entire project, and a complete
133 COC was requested. Ms. Solomon stated that this had previously been filed with the Commission and was
134 denied due to incomplete items. Ms. Solomon stated that it appeared those items had been corrected, the
135 largest of which was the replication area. Mr. Hakansson stated that the replication area had been inspected
136 in the past and was not accepted, and wanted to know what had changed. Mr. McLoughlin, the engineer for
137 the site stated that there was an isolated wetland on the site during the initial filing for the project, which
138 was permitted with a replication area adjacent to it, connected by a drainage ditch. Mr. McLoughlin
139 continued that it had previously been supplied by water from a gravel pit, and is significantly larger than
140 what was required. The replication area is not the most vibrant wetland but does satisfy the requirements.
141 Mr. McLoughlin recommended a site visit with the Commission and the wetlands scientist, David Haines.
142 The Commission discussed and arranged a site visit.
143

144 **No motion was made and no vote taken.**

145
146 **Certificate of Compliance, 42 Wilbur Drive, Richmond Development Corporation, Dep File No. 95-963**

147 Ms. Solomon stated a partial release was previously issued, with the only outstanding issue being
148 stabilization of the site. This has since been completed and the applicant is now requesting a complete COC.

149
150 **Motion:** Mr. Crouch moved to issue a complete Certificate of Compliance. The motion was seconded by Mr.
151 Crow.

152 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

153
154
155 **Certificate of Compliance Re-Issue, 1 Ivy Lane, Hornung and Scimone PC, DEP File No. 95-27**

156 Ms. Solomon stated that the Commission had previously issued a partial release in 1979 affecting all Ivy
157 Lane properties associated with the Order of Conditions, but it was never recorded with the Registry of
158 Deeds. The owner of 1 Ivy Lane is requesting a re-issue of the Certificate of Compliance. Ms. Van Lancker
159 asked if the copy held in the Conservation files could be recorded with the Registry of Deeds. Ms. Solomon
160 replied that the Registry only accepted original signatures, and the version held in the office is not. A re-
161 issue would be on the most recent version of the COC forms with the current Commissioners signatures.

162
163 Mr. Crouch asked if a true attest copy could be issued. Ms. Solomon stated that that was tried first, but no
164 one exists who could attest to the original document.

165
166 **Motion:** Mr. Hakansson moved to re-issue the partial release Certificate of Compliance, previously issued
167 for the Ivy Lane lots, including the currently named 1 Ivy Lane. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

168 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

169
170
171 **Wetlands Violations, Mindess**

172 Ms. Solomon summarized that there was a storm on July 25th that resulted in erosion controls failing.
173 Sediment entered the street. Emergency actions were performed to place mulch sock down that was not in
174 place, and personnel on site were requested to take additional actions to correct failing erosion controls.
175 There was some miscommunication where the personnel on site made corrections in the wrong area. Kevin
176 Langmeyer, Ashland DPW, had later gone out to re-inspect the site and found that water was now breaching
177 from the exit driveway adjacent to 84 Concord Street, and that an existing silt bag in a catch basin was being
178 overwhelmed and flooding onto the abutting property. Mr. Langmeyer had taken emergency action to pull
179 the silt bag in order to prevent flooding the foundation of the abutter's home, which resulted in sediment
180 entering the stormwater systems in the roadway. Shortly after, additional personnel from the Mindess team
181 arrived back on the scene and made additional improvements that evening and the following morning.
182 Improvements included a temporary crushed stone berm around the un-stabilized areas, silt fence was
183 repaired and replaced as needed. On August 8th, a flash flood occurred on the property, which overwhelmed
184 the site with up to three feet of standing water in some locations. Silt fence was in some locations failing
185 from overtopping and others by actually ripping from the water pressure. The erosion control monitor was
186 present for the duration of the storm and replaced the silt fence twice during the event. Additional mulch
187 sock was installed and positioned during the event to redirect overtopping water from Concord Street and
188 back onto a lawn area on site to facilitate the settling of sediment and to slow the flow of water. The
189 following day, stormwater systems began being brought online in conjunction with site paving in order to
190 facilitate the infiltration of surface water, and stabilize the site.

191
192 Mr. Conroy, Shawmut Senior Manager, stated that they provided a plan that afternoon detailing the
193 proposed increases in erosion controls, paving, and bringing the stormwater online. Mr. Conroy referenced
194 earlier conversation that noted the increased intensity of recent storms, and that they recognize the charge

195 to protect the wetlands, and are working to address the evolving conditions on site. Mr. Hakansson stated
196 that the Commission has expressed concerns in the past, and stated concerns about the impacts to the pond
197 on Concord Street. Mr. Hakansson stated that the Order of Conditions is clear that any impact to the
198 wetlands, including sediment, is the responsibility of the contractor. Mr. Hakansson suggested that a
199 remedy be proposed in lieu of fines. Mr. Conroy stated that he would need to confer with the rest of the
200 project team.

201
202 Ms. Van Lancker asked Ms. Solomon which resource areas have been impacted. Ms. Solomon responded
203 that there was some sediment that had been observed entering the pond, but the majority entered the
204 stream across from 130 Concord Street. Ms. Van Lancker asked for those resource areas to be reviewed. Mr.
205 Hakansson asked if a wildlife biologist or someone knowledgeable about wetland viability could do an
206 evaluation. Mr. Hakansson continued that the evidence of sediment does not necessarily tell you if there
207 has been impacts or not. Mr. Conroy responded that he is not sure who that party would be, and expressed
208 concern that they would need to be someone who was involved with the benchmark at the start of the
209 project. Mr. Hakansson read condition 29J from the Order of Conditions verbatim:

210
211 *“Any damage caused as a result of this project to any wetland resource areas, shall be the*
212 *responsibility of the Contractor to promptly repair, restore and/or replace....”*
213

214 Mr. Hakansson continued that something of this magnitude needs to be evaluated by someone qualified to
215 determine where impacts have occurred. Mr. Crouch asked if there was sediment suspended in the pond.
216 Ms. Solomon stated that the water was naturally a dark color. There was sediment observed floating in the
217 pond once during the previous year, but there have been no significant observations since. Mr. Conroy
218 clarified that he is not against an effort to resolve any impacts that may have been caused, but that is
219 requesting an opportunity to review with the project team and come back to the Committee with a proposal
220 of recommendations.

221
222 Mr. Crouch stated that he agreed, with Mr. Hakansson, and added that, even if a benchmark doesn't exist, it
223 should be clear to qualified professional what a pond like that should have in it and make a determination
224 from there. Mr. Crouch continued that if the pond is the dark color described by Ms. Solomon, there's
225 probably an algae growing in it, which could be from elevated nutrients running into it and could be treated.
226 Mr. Crouch clarified that when sediment enters a pond, it affects fish, arthropod, and amphibian eggs by
227 coating and smothering them. Fish suffocate from sediment coating their gills. There's significant impact to
228 aquatic life just from sediment in the water. Mr. Crouch agreed with Mr. Hakansson that the pond should be
229 surveyed to see what resources are still present and at risk.

230
231 Mr. Crouch referred back to Mr. Conroy's comment about accelerating the construction of the stormwater
232 systems on site, and asked which systems in particular are being focused on. Mr. Conroy stated there are
233 two new outflows at the front of the new school that discharge into the pond and handle roof runoff after
234 going through a subsurface infiltration system. The volume of water from the previous storms had pooled at
235 those outlets and brought sediment into suspension. By bringing that part of the system online, the clarified
236 water can be discharged to the pond as designed without creating a back-up of water onto the site. Mr.
237 Crouch asked if flocculent was still being used on the site. Mr. Conroy responded that all disturbed areas are
238 actively being used or occupied with equipment, preventing additional flocculent applications. The swale
239 where floc blocks were installed has been finalized, vegetated and stabilized. Ms. Solomon clarified that
240 water travelling in the swale area was contained to the drain pipe beneath it, and the water leaving from
241 that swale was clear. The area of concern across the road has three culvert outlets, one being from the
242 swale area which was clear, one coming from Fiske Road which had some coloration and acts as a baseline,
243 and one coming from Concord Street, which is where the sediment had entered the stream from and had
244 sediment floating in it.

245

246 Mr. Hakansson asked for Mr. Conroy to provide recommendations to Ms. Solomon prior to the next meeting
247 to be distributed to the Commission.
248

249 **No motion was made and no vote taken**
250

251 Ms. Weiner Guardiani, 75 Blue Jane Lane, spoke up and asked if 11 Bay Colony Drive had already been
252 discussed, as she had received a notification in the mail concerning it. Ms. Solomon responded that the
253 notification was an abutter notification that was supposed to go out 7 business days prior to the meeting,
254 but one of the other abutters had notified the Commission that the mailing date was only 4 business days
255 before the meeting and the meeting date was listed incorrectly. Ms. Solomon continued that due to the
256 improper notice, the item was taken off the agenda and moved to the next one, and that new abutter
257 notifications will be sent out by the applicant.
258

259 **Wetlands Violations, 501 Pond Street**

260 Ms. Solomon summarized that this item was in response to violations from July 24th and 25th. An inspection
261 on the 24th found that sediment laden water had overtopped erosion controls on the north boundary
262 abutting 369 Pond Street and entered the stormwater systems on the abutting property, leaving a sediment
263 layer on the swale as well as the infiltration basin the swale drains to. Erosion controls had been overtopped
264 or undermined, as well as ripped in other locations along the perimeter and mulch chips had been washed
265 away entirely by the water, though the silt sacks were functioning to keep the chips and majority of
266 sediment out of the catch basins. There was evidence of sediment deposited in the settling basin in the
267 parking lot on the south boundary, and had exited to the stream and wetlands on the Puts and More
268 property in Holliston. Ms. Solomon continued that she had met with Mr. Stevens, Trask Development, and
269 with Mr. Clapp, Holliston Conservation Agent on the morning of July 25th and looked at the issues, during
270 which Mr. Stevens had agreed to clean the silt sacks and install additional berms on the north side of the
271 property. Later in the day, another rain event occurred, and the corrections had not been started, as a result
272 the same issues occurred again and were documented, along with observable surface flow of sediment
273 laden water bypassing the catch basins and entering the settling basin in the parking lot directly. Ms.
274 Solomon had confirmed at the time that there was some water entering the parking lot from Pond Street,
275 but was clear. The Holliston Agent was notified as well, who confirmed their own development sites nearby
276 were also contained. Ms. Solomon stated that as there was significant sediment leaving the site, and as a
277 previous fine had already been issued in the amount of \$100 total, she had issued fines in response to the
278 new violations at \$100 per violation. Ms. Solomon stated the agreed upon modifications were installed after
279 the July 25th storm, and held well during the August 8th storm without issue.
280

281 Mr. Stevens stated that he agreed with Ms. Solomon's statements, but he felt that the basin in question was
282 self-contained and was not taking sediment from his site, and only from Pond Street. Mr. Stevens continued
283 that all the water on his site was coming from Pond Street and felt that he was receiving fines for issues
284 caused by the Mass DOT project. Ms. Van Lancker asked Ms. Solomon for clarification on the issuance of the
285 fines. Ms. Solomon responded that fines of \$100 per violation, amounting to a total of \$900 was issued after
286 the July 25th storm due to direct observation during the rain event of sediment leaving the 501 Pond Street
287 site and entering the stormwater system to the north and the wetlands system to the south. Ms. Solomon
288 clarified that the stormwater basin on the 369 Pond Street property does take water from Pond Street on
289 one side, but also has a swale running parallel with the north boundary of 501 Pond Street which also flows
290 into the basin, and that sediment was directly observed flowing from the 501 Pond Street site, overtopping
291 the silt fence and entering that swale before draining to the stormwater basin in question. Ms. Solomon
292 showed photos on the screen showing the conditions stated. Ms. Solomon added that a request was made
293 in the spring to hydroseed the entire parcel as no work was planned until the fall.
294

295 Ms. Van Lancker asked Mr. Stevens why the site was not hydroseeded. Mr. Stevens responded that the site
296 was flooded during the recent storms. Ms. Van Lancker stated that storms like this are no longer a rare

297 occurrence, and the erosion controls need to be maintained to handle them. If it's not hydroseeded or
298 otherwise stabilized, sediment is going to continue to erode off the property. Mr. Hakansson asked Mr.
299 Stevens if he had brought his concerns about Mass DOT to other Town officials. Ms. Van Lancker stated that
300 the water coming off Pond Street is clear. Mr. Hakansson reiterated his question. Mr. Stevens confirmed
301 that the water is running clear, but that it's all going onto his property and picking up sediment when it
302 reaches his site. Mr. Hakansson stated the Commission only had jurisdiction over wetlands, and that the
303 Mass DOT project in that area is outside of that jurisdiction. Mr. Hakansson recommended that Mr. Stevens
304 speaks with Town Management and Department of Public Works regarding the issue.
305

306 Mr. Stevens stated that he has 30-year reputation in the business, and to get violations for this was
307 something he felt was insulting because he did not feel he was responsible for the conditions being created.
308 Mr. Stevens continued that he has built in Ashland and MetroWest and was being responsive, and that it
309 was deep insult. Mr. Stevens said he has never been fined in his career. Mr. Hakansson reiterated that Mr.
310 Stevens should contact the other Town departments that do have authority. Mr. Crow commented that the
311 fact there was not an issue during the August 8th storm is a good sign that the improvements are working.
312

313 **No motion was made and no vote taken.**

314

315 **Wetlands Violations, 220 Oregon Road, No Associated DEP File Number**

316 Ms. Solomon stated that a delineation plan was provided and shows every tree stump and their diameter. It
317 appears that some of the clearing was within the wetlands themselves in addition to the buffer zone. Ms.
318 Solomon stated that Ms. Skinner Catrone intended to have a restoration plan submitted for the August 28th
319 meeting.
320

321 **No motion was made and no vote taken.**

322

323 **Wetlands Violations, 65 Concord Street, DEP File Number 95-967**

324 Ms. Solomon stated that she had attempted to reach the homeowner with Mr. Correia and had not been
325 able to get a hold of them. Mr. Campos Souza, the homeowner, was on the meeting and said that he was
326 confused about the violations, and had difficulty understanding English. Mr. Hakansson asked Ms. Solomon
327 to arrange a time with Mr. Campos Souza to discuss with Mr. Correia to translate. Ms. Solomon arranged a
328 time the following week to meet with Mr. Campos Souza.
329

330 **No motion was made and no vote taken.**

331

332 **Review minutes 7/24/2023**

333 **7/24/2023 Meeting Minutes:**

334 Commission Members reviewed and edited the July 24, 2023 meeting minutes.
335

336 **Motion:** Mr. Hakansson moved to approve the July 24, 2023 meeting minutes as amended. The motion
337 was seconded by Mr. Wands.

338 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 6-0-1 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL). Mr. Crow abstained.
339

340

341 **Discussion and Vote to re-appoint for Conservation Commission**

342 The Commission discussed recommending Owen Ackerman and Preston Crow for re-appointment by the
343 Select Board.
344

345 **Motion:** Mr. Hakansson moved to recommend the reappointment of Owen Ackerman and Preston Crow to
346 the Conservation Commission. The motion was seconded by Mr. Wands.

347 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

348
349 **Member Prerogative**
350 Mr. Hakansson informed the Commission that the fields at Warren Woods have been hayed.

351
352 Mr. Crow asked if there was any update on the Whittemore Estates project. Ms. Solomon stated there have
353 been street trees planted, but otherwise there is not any further updates at the time. Counsel is currently
354 away, and will be returning later in the month and should be providing an update.

355
356 Mr. Hakansson asked if the Legacy Farms project had any issues in the past storms. Ms. Solomon stated that
357 there is a lengthy update on that project and that she will be emailing them that update for discussion at the
358 next meeting.

359
360 Mr. Crouch informed the Commission that he may not be able to attend the next several meetings due to
361 travelling.

362
363 Mr. Ackerman informed the Commission that he would not be able to attend the first meeting in October.

364
365 **Meeting Adjournment:**

366 **Motion:** Mr. Hakansson moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crow.

367 **Vote:** The motion passed with a 7-0-0 vote. (Rollcall vote: OA, PC, GC, CH, WM, GW, CVL)

368
369 The meeting was adjourned at 9:30p.m.

370
371 **Documents reviewed by the Conservation Commission on 8/14/2023**

- 372 ● Document entitled, *Agenda, dated 8/14/2023*
- 373 ● Document entitled, *Meeting Minutes, dated 7/24/2023*
- 374 ● Document entitled, *WPA Form 1, Cowassock Woods, dated 7/6/2023*
- 375 ● Document entitled, *Bridge Extension Diagram, dated 7/6/2023*
- 376 ● Document entitled, *Cowassock Additional Information, dated 7/6/2023*
- 377 ● Document entitled, *SVT Trail Map with Proposed Bench Location, dated 6/29/2023*
- 378 ● Document entitled, *WPA Form 1, dated 7/29/2023*
- 379 ● Plans entitled, *46 Pennock Road Resource Map, dated 7/31/2023*
- 380 ● Plans entitled, *46 Pennock Road Proposed Work Area, dated 7/31/2023*
- 381 ● Document entitled, *RDA Application, 26 Strobus Lane, dated 7/36/2023*
- 382 ● Document entitled, *WPA form 8A, 42 Wilbur Drive, dated 7/27/2023*
- 383 ● Document entitled, *RE: Certificate of Compliance Request 42 Wilbur Drive, dated 7/27/2023*
- 384 ● Document entitled, *Subject: Village of Americas, dated 4/17/2023*
- 385 ● Document entitled, *RE: Wetland Replication Status- Village of America, dated 11/7/2022*
- 386 ● Document entitled, *WPA Form 8A, Village of Americas dated 4/17/2023*
- 387 ● Plans entitled, *As-built plans Village of Americas, dated 3/22/2023*
- 388 ● Plans entitled, *As-built Wetlands Replication Plan Village of Americas, dated 10/14/2022*
- 389 ● Email correspondence entitled, *Stormwater Update Mindess 7-25-2023, dated 7/27/2023*
- 390 ● Email correspondence entitled, *Stormwater Updates 501 Pond Street, dated 7/26/2023*
- 391 ● Document entitled, *Mindess SWPPP Follow-up 8/10/2032*
- 392 ● Email correspondence entitled, *FWD Mindess SWPPP and Storm Follow-up, dated 8/11/2023*
- 393 ● Plans entitled, *Tree Location Plan of Land 220 Oregon Road, dated 7/14/2032*
- 394